

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

Ahmad Daniel,

Civ. No. 22-cv-3184 (ECT/DJF)

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

Honeywell International, Inc.; Katie
Lorentzen; Dawn C. Valdivia; Tara Hill;
and Kyle Swatfager,
Defendants.

The Court previously directed the Clerk of Court to seek waivers of service from Defendants Honeywell International, Inc., Katie Lorentzen, Dawn C. Valdivia, Tara Hill and Kyle Swatfager (collectively, “Defendants”), consistent with Rule 4(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (ECF No. 4). More than 30 days have passed since waivers of service were requested, but Defendants have not yet signed and returned the waiver of service forms. Accordingly, the Court directs the U.S. Marshals Service to effect service of process upon Defendants. The Court previously warned, “[i]f a Defendant fails without good cause to sign and return a waiver within 30 days of the date that waiver is mailed, the Court will impose upon that Defendant the expenses later incurred in effecting service of process.” (*Id.* ¶ 4.) Upon effecting service of process, the Court directs the U.S. Marshals Service to submit to the Court its reasonable expenses incurred in making service. Absent a showing of good cause in declining to waive service of process, the Court will order Defendants to reimburse the U.S. Marshals Service for those expenses. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(2). Defendants may avoid imposition of the costs of service by signing and returning the waivers before service of process is effected.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 28, 2023

s/ Dulce J. Foster

DULCE J. FOSTER

United States Magistrate Judge